

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/674,227 09/29/2003		Andrew D. Kurtz	46001 1892			
7590 01/30/2006		·	EXAM	EXAMINER		
Stephen B. Shear			VANOY, TIMOTHY C			
Church & Dwig	tht Co., Inc.					
469 N. Harrison Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Princeton, NJ 08543-5297			1754			

DATE MAILED: 01/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/674,2	27	KURTZ, ANDREW D.			
		Examine		Art Unit			
		Timothy C		1754			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on					
• —	•	2b)⊠ This action is r	on-final.				
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	S) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.						
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restrict	τιοη and/or election ι	equirement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)🛛	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date 01/14/2004.	•	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	D-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

a) The status of the parent application, 09-910,540, should be updated on the first page of the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

a) A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. See MPEP § 2173.05(c). Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte*

Art Unit: 1754

Hasche, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 1 recites the broad recitation that the purge stream contains at least about 20% sodium carbonate, and the claim also recites that the purge stream typically contains 30% sodium carbonate which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U. S. Patent 6,428,759 B1 to Smith et al.

The Smith patent discloses a process for producing sodium bicarbonate (please see col. 10 lines 7-9), comprising:

Dissolving a sodium bicarbonate trona ore in a solution to form a brine;

Neutralizing the brine;

Dissolving calcined trona in said neutralized brine to form a feed liquor;

Introducing the feed liquor into a sodium carbonate monohydrate crystallizer (please see claims 1 and 2 in U. S. Patent 6,428,759);

Application/Control Number: 10/674,227

Art Unit: 1754

Sending the monohydrate mother liquor purge stream to a sodium decahydrate crystallizer (please see col. 9 lines 58-59);

Melting the sodium carbonate decahydrate crystals to produce a solution containing about 30% by weight of sodium carbonate (please see col. 9 line 67 to col. 10 line 5), and

Carbonating the solution formed by melting the crystals to produce sodium bicarbonate, which can be separated and recovered as a product (please see col. 10 lines 7-10).

Please note that it would be inherent that the total amount of waste water from the production of sodium bicarbonate and also from the production of sodium carbonate decahydrate would be less than the amount of effluent stream from the production of sodium carbonate due to mass balance.

Also, please note that the same sodium bicarbonate from the same process will inherently have the same purity.

The following reference, which is indicative of the state of the art, is made of record:

U. S. Patent App'n. Pub. No. US 2002/0192140 A1 disclosing a process for the preparation of soda ash from solution mined bicarbonate brines.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy C. Vanoy whose telephone number is 571-272-8158. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-4:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/674,227 Page 5

Art Unit: 1754

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Timely C Vancy Timothy C Vancy Patent Examiner Art Unit 1754

tv